1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	PUBLIC UTILITIES SPECIAL OPEN MEETING
4	Thursday, October 22, 2020
5	Chicago, Illinois
6	
7	Met pursuant to notice via videoconference
8	at 3:00 p.m at 160 North LaSalle Street, Chicago,
9	Illinois.
10	
11	PRESENT:
12	CARRIE ZALEWSKI, Chairwoman
13	D. ETHAN KIMBREL, Commissioner
14	SADZI M. OLIVA, Commissioner (via videoconference)
15	MARIA S. BOCANEGRA, Commissioner (via videoconference)
16	MICHAEL T. CARRIGAN, Commissioner (via Springfield Office)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	BRIDGES COURT REPORTING
22	BY: Michael J. Duffy, CER Notary Public

1 MS. ZALEWSKI: Under the Open Meetings Act 2 and in accordance with the Governor's executive 3 orders, I call the October 22nd, 2020 Special Open Meeting to order. 4 5 Before we proceed, and for clarity 6 of the record, I would ask everyone to state their 7 name before speaking and to speak slowly and 8 clearly so that the court reporter can capture everything that is said. He is participating 9 10 remotely today, so if the court reporter does have an issue hearing, please speak up. 11 12 I already checked that we have all 13 five commissioners with us, and so we have a 14 There are no requests to speak. quorum. We have 15 no transportation agenda. We have three items on 16 our Public Utilities agenda. 17 Item 1 concerns Docket 20-0309, 18 which is a Moratorium on Disconnection of Utility 19 Services during the Public Health Emergency. 20 On October 14, 2020, Community Organizing and Family Issues, or COFI, and Allen 21 22 Cherry filed an Emergency Motion asking the

1 Commission to order all investor-owned utilities to 2 extend the disconnection moratorium and late fee 3 prohibition to include all residential customers through March 31st of 2020. 4 5 Movants state that the Illinois 6 Department of Public Health, or IDPH, has 7 identified significant increases of COVID-19 8 transmission in eleven regions of the state versus 9 the original five, which was not contemplated in 10 the stipulations signed by the parties in this 11 docket that were approved by the Commission on June 12 18th. 13 The Commission Staff and utilities 14 filed responses opposing the motion. Staff states that what movants seek ignore the terms of the 15 16 stipulation that the Commission has already 17 approved, and to which the movants themselves were 18 parties and signatories. 19 Joint Utilities also stated that 20 the Commission should deny the Emergency Motion, as it undermines months of work by the stipulating 21

Bridges Court Reporting Page: 3

parties and overlooks utilities entitlement to due

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 process and costs recovery.

2 Utilities also noted that an 3 extension on the moratorium until March 31st, 2021, will burden all customers due to the rising costs 4 5 of uncollectables. Utilities note that movants 6 ignored the Commission approved collaborative 7 process for addressing emerging concerns. 8 order approving stipulations allowed Staff to 9 request to reopen the docket if the utilities 10 failed to comply with the terms and conditions of the stipulations. 11

Utilities note that movants had four months since the stipulations were entered to request a hearing and did not do so.

Consumer advocates, including the AG, CUB, City of Chicago, and LAS filed replies in support of the motion, noting that there have been significant and material changes in the public health crisis since the stipulations of the parties did not contemplate. They also state that the Commission should clarify the consumer protections available under the voluntary extension to ensure

that Illinois consumers are fully informed about
their rights and options.

The administrative law judge on this docket recommends that the Commission deny the Emergency Motion, noting that the Commission and parties are bound by the terms in the June 18, 2020 order and stipulations. The order found that the terms of each of the stipulations are reasonable and the Commission approves and adopts the terms of each stipulation as a settlement of the docket when taken together.

The judge finds that no health region reverted to Phase 3 and the triggering event has not occurred under the stipulation, allowing for joint requests by the stipulating parties for a status hearing to assess whether the terms of the stipulation need to be revised.

The movants claim that the addition of new health regions permits to request a status.

The judges note that it is not in the language of the stipulation.

I will now call a vote for the

1 Emergency Motion. Are there any objections to 2 denying the motion? 3 MS. BOCANEGRA: Chair Zalewski, this is Commissioner Bocanegra. I have an objection, but 4 5 I'm not sure if you would want to take the vote 6 first or allow me to make some remarks. 7 MS. ZALEWSKI: If you want to make remarks 8 now, that's fine, and then I can call the vote. 9 MS. BOCANEGRA: Okay. Great. Thank you. 10 For the record, Mr. Court Reporter, this is 11 Commissioner Bocanegra. Thank you, Chair. 12 I just want to express my extreme 13 disappointment that the Commission is not taking 14 this opportunity to get ahead of what is the 15 inevitable. I think that having read the motion 16 and a lot of the briefings, it's pretty clear to me that the Commission is not bound by the 17 18 stipulation. 19 It may be so for the parties, but 20 the circumstances continue to evolve and are changing, and I think this is a missed opportunity 21 22 for the Commission to really take advantage of an

19

20

21

- 1 opportunity to protect, not only consumers, but to 2 also be able to pronounce other portions of this 3 stipulation that are still good, that could remain Alternatively, if that were the case, I'm 4 intact. 5 disappointed that the Commission did not open a new 6 docket or did not, on its own motion, consider 7 this, but I -- for those reasons, I object. 8 MS. ZALEWSKI: Does anybody else have 9 This is Carrie Zalewski. comments? 10 I have a couple of comments as 11 I am going to vote to deny, but I do share The positivity rate is rising, as has 12 13 been discussed in the filings. And there are 14 interesting -- there are two executive orders that 15 have been entered since this Emergency Motion was 16 filed on October 21st; Executive Order 60, which addresses Region 5, and Executive Order 61, which 17
- They refer to new measures as restrictions and mitigation efforts with supplemental Phase 4 quidance, so it's not back to 22 Phase 3, technically. And it also states in the

addresses Regions 7 and 8 in this state.

regions to an earlier phase of the plan shall trigger a joint request by the stipulated parties for a status hearing in this proceeding to assess whether the terms of the stipulation need to be revised.

I do think the parties took an important step by voluntarily agreeing to extend the moratorium to those who self certify hardship or otherwise qualify for LIHEAP and PIPP programs. There have been concerns raised about help not getting to those who need it. So I do think that the emergency motion is not -- it should not be granted. I do hope that the parties continue a conversation in the spirit of the stipulation as it was entered and to get help to those who are particularly affected by the pandemic, but I'm going to call for a vote.

A call for the vote on the emergency motion. I'm going to call it if you are in favor of denying the motion, it's an "aye," and if you're opposed, say "nay." if you're in favor of

```
1
    denying.
 2
                     So Commissioner Bocanegra?
 3
          MS. BOCANEGRA:
                           Nay.
          MS. ZALEWSKI: Commissioner Carrigan?
 4
 5
          MR. CARRIGAN:
                         I am aye, to deny the motion.
 6
          MS. ZALEWSKI:
                         Thank you. A double negative.
 7
     I appreciate that. Commissioner Oliva?
 8
           MS. OLIVA:
                       I am an aye, also to deny.
 9
           MS. ZALEWSKI:
                          Aye?
10
          MS. OLIVA:
                       Yeah.
11
                         Commissioner Kimbrel?
          MS. ZALEWSKI:
12
          MR. KIMBREL:
                         Aye.
13
          MS. ZALEWSKI: I am an aye as well, so the
14
    four ayes have it, and the motion is denied.
15
                     Moving onto Item 2: Docket 20-0738
16
     is an investigation under Section 10-101 of the
17
    Public Utilities Act to determine whether Ameren's
18
    Rider Net Metering requires amendment to comport
    with Section 16-107.5 of the Public Utilities Act.
19
20
                     The rider requires Ameren to
    provide Net Metering to eligible customers until
21
22
    the load of its Net Metering customers equals 5
```

1 percent of the total peak demand supplied by Ameren 2 during the previous year. After that, eligible 3 customers that begin taking net metering can only be eligible for netting of energy. 4 5 In it's July 7, 2020 ruling, an 6 administrative law judge, in Docket 20-0389, 7 determined that Ameren's methodology by calculating 8 a 5 percent threshold might not be consistent with the Act. Following that, the Commission opened 9 10 this investigation and directed the assigned ALJ to 11 set a schedule that would enable the Commission to 12 decide by October 23rd if the Rider NM provision 13 should be stayed pending this investigation. The Commission directed Ameren to 14 15 show cause by October 15th as to why the provision 16 of Rider NM that are implemented after the 5 17 percent threshold is reached, should not be stayed. 18 Ameren made its filing, as 19 directed, on October 15th, and on the same date, 20 also filed a petition for a special permission to place into effect, on less than 45-days notice, 21

Bridges Court Reporting Page: 10

revisions to its Rider NM in Docket Number 20-0753.

That is the next item on today's agenda and will be considered separately.

Ameren suggests that the outcome of the Commission's investigation in this proceeding is unknown and that it's proposed revisions for Rider NM would allow for new net metering customers to be made whole after the investigation, if the Commission changes the methodology for calculating the 5 percent threshold.

In their response to Ameren's filing, joint NGOs and Joint Solar Parties, state that Ameren's proposals fail to adequately protect its customers and the solar market from continuing harm during the pendency of this investigation and does not remove the uncertainty that new net metering customers and solar companies currently face.

The ALJ in the present docket issued an interim order, finding that a stay would have been appropriate if Ameren had not proposed its Special Permission Tariff.

Before I call a vote on the Interim

```
1
    Order, do any of the commissioners have any
 2
    comments?
 3
          MR. KIMBREL: Madam Chair, this is
    Commissioner Kimbrel.
 4
 5
          MS. ZALEWSKI: Go ahead.
 6
          MR. KIMBREL:
                         Thank you. In lieu of the
 7
    ALJ's interim order, I move that Ameren Illinois
 8
    Company has demonstrated why its Rider Net Metering
 9
    should not be stayed when considered, in light of
    the verified petition for special permission to
10
11
    place tariff sheets into effect on less than
12
     45-days notice, filed in Docket Number 20-0753,
13
    which is Item Number 3 on today's agenda, and our
14
    next vote.
15
          MS. ZALEWSKI: Thank you. Is there a second
16
    on Commissioner Kimbrel's motion?
17
          MR. CARRIGAN: I will. Commissioner
18
    Carrigan, I will second the motion.
19
          MS. ZALEWSKI: Okay. Are there any other
20
    comments?
21
                     (No verbal response.)
22
          MS. ZALEWSKI:
                          Okay.
                                 I'm now calling to vote
```

1 Commissioner Kimbrel's motion, to deny the stay of 2 Ameren's Rider NM provisions in light of Ameren's 3 verified Petition for Special Permission that is on Item E3 on today's agenda. 4 5 So if you are in favor of denying 6 the stay, please say, "aye," and if you are opposed 7 and believe the stay should be granted, say "nay." 8 Commissioner Bocanegra? 9 MS. BOCANEGRA: With respect to the motion by 10 Commissioner Kimbrel, I vote aye. 11 MS. ZALEWSKI: Commissioner Carrigan? 12 MR. CARRIGAN: Aye. 13 MS. ZALEWSKI: Commissioner Kimbrel? 14 MR. KIMBREL: Aye. 15 MS. ZALEWSKI: Commissioner Oliva? 16 MS. OLIVA: Aye to the motion. 17 MS. ZALEWSKI: I am voting no on denying the 18 stay for the Rider NM provisions and instead would 19 grant the stay. 20 I share the concerns that the Special Permission Tariff does not go far enough to 21

Bridges Court Reporting Page: 13

avoid potential disruption in the solar industry.

1 The special permission is a step in the right direction, but in my opinion, does not go 2 3 far enough. 4 Judge Haynes determined that the 5 Commission has the authority to grant the stay and 6 I agree. 7 So the four ayes have it and the 8 stay of Ameren's Rider NM is denied; therefore, 9 we're not voting on the Interim Order. 10 Item 3 concerns Docket 20-0753, 11 which is Ameren's Verified Petition for Special 12 Permission to place tariff sheets into effect on 13 less than 45-days notice. By this filing, Ameren 14 addresses the 5 percent threshold under the Rider 15 Net Metering on an interim basis. 16 Ameren proposes to add language to 17 Rider NM that states the Company will implement 18 revisions to the timing of the Rider NM billing 19 methodologies if, and to the extent, required by 20 the Commission's Order in Docket 20-0738, consistent with applicable law. This language 21 22 serves to ensure customers taking service under

1 Rider NM are billed in accordance with Commission's 2 decision on the methodology for calculating the 5 3 percent threshold in that docket, including, if applicable, a receipt of delivery service credits 4 5 for excess generation pushed back onto the grid for the period October 2nd, 2020, through the time any 6 7 revised tariff takes place, and the ability to 8 receive delivery service net metering for excess 9 generation pushed back onto the grid as if the 10 customer began taking net metering before the 5 11 percent threshold was reached. 12 Under such a revision, residential 13 and small non-residential rooftop solar customers 14 who complete construction of their generation and 15 become net metering customers after October 1st, 16 2020, will receive credits for their delivery charges in the event the Commission orders changes 17 18 to the Net Metering Program. 19 Ameren customers who completed 20 their generation installations prior to October 1st are continuing to receive full net metering 21

Bridges Court Reporting Page: 15

benefits and are not impacted by the proposed

```
1
    tariff.
 2
                     Commission Staff recommends
 3
    granting the special permission.
                     During oral arguments in our Docket
 4
 5
     20-0738, Ameren clarified the purpose of the
 6
     language of the special permission, and the
 7
    Commission thus considers Ameren's request for a
 8
    special permission with the clarification in mind.
 9
                     So I'm now calling to vote on
10
    Ameren's Petition for a Special Permission to place
11
    tariff sheets into effect on less than 45-days
12
    notice.
13
                     Are there any objections to
14
    granting the special permission?
15
                     (No verbal response.)
           MS. ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the special
16
17
    permission is granted.
18
                     This concludes our Public Utilities
19
    Agenda.
20
                     Judge Teague Kingsley, do we have
    other matters to come before the Commission today?
21
22
           JUDGE TEAGUE KINGSLEY:
                                   No, Madam Chairman.
```

```
1
           MS. ZALEWSKI: Do any of the other
 2
     commissioners have any other business to discuss?
                      (No verbal response.)
 3
                          Hearing none, and without
 4
           MS. ZALEWSKI:
    objection, the meeting is adjourned. Thank you.
 5
                      (Whereupon the meeting was
 6
                       adjourned.)
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```